BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2007-6-G - ORDER NO. 2007-552

AUGUST 14, 2007

IN RE: Annual Review of the Purchased Gas Adjustments and Gas Purchasing Policies of Carolina Gas Transmission Corporation F/K/A South Carolina Pipeline Corporation)	ORDER ON PRUDENCE, PGA, AND RELATED MATTERS
--	---	---

I. INTRODUCTION

The above-captioned matter is before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") for the final annual review of the Purchased Gas Adjustments ("PGA") and Gas Purchasing Policies of Carolina Gas Transmission Corporation ("CGTC"), formerly known as South Carolina Pipeline Corporation ("SCPC" or "Company").

On July 20, 2006, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") approved the merger of SCG Pipeline, Inc. with SCPC to form a single, integrated, interstate pipeline to be operated under the jurisdiction of FERC and to be called CGTC. SCPC's last day of providing intrastate services was October 31, 2006. On November 1, 2006, the merger was consummated and CGTC began operating as an interstate pipeline under FERC jurisdiction. Therefore, the final period for review of SCPC's PGA and Gas Purchasing Policies related to its intrastate operations is the period from January 1, 2006, to October 31, 2006 ("the Review Period").

A Notice of Filing regarding SCPC's PGA and Gas Purchasing Policies was prepared and, pursuant to the instructions of the Commission's Docketing Department, was published in newspapers of general circulation in the affected areas. In addition, a copy of the Notice of Filing was mailed by United States first class mail service directly to customers receiving service from SCPC during the review period. No Petitions to Intervene were filed in this case in response to the Notice of Filing.

On June 8, 2007, SCPC pre-filed the direct testimony of witnesses Samuel L. Dozier, Michael P. Wingo, John S. Beier and Thomas R. Conard. On June 22, 2007, the Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") pre-filed the direct testimony of witnesses Roy H. Barnette and Brent L. Sires. On June 22, 2007, the Parties filed a comprehensive Settlement Agreement wherein they stipulated to a resolution of all issues in the proceeding.

II. DISCUSSION OF THE COMMISSION'S JURISDICTION

By statute, the Commission is vested with power and jurisdiction to supervise and regulate the rates and service of every public utility in this State, and to fix just and reasonable standards, classifications, regulations, practices, and measurements of service to be furnished, imposed, or observed, and followed by every public utility in this State.

See S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-3-140 (A) (Supp. 2006). In carrying out this duty, the Commission instituted an annual review of SCPC's PGA and Gas Purchasing Policies by Order No. 87-1122, dated October 5, 1987. Pursuant to this review, the parties submitted a settlement agreement in the current proceeding. Therefore, the Commission's published "Settlement Policies and Procedures" (Revised 6/13/2006) are pertinent to guide this

proceeding. Section II of the Settlement Policies and Procedures, titled "Consideration of Settlements", states:

When a settlement is presented to the Commission, the Commission will prescribe procedures appropriate to the nature of the settlement for the Commission's consideration of the settlement. For example, the Commission may summarily accept settlement of an essentially private dispute that has no significant implications for regulatory law or policy or for other utilities or customers upon the written request of the affected parties. On the other hand, when the settlement presents issues of significant implication for other utilities, customers, or the public interest, the Commission will convene an evidentiary hearing to consider the reasonableness of the settlement and whether acceptance of the settlement is just, fair, and reasonable, in the public interest, or otherwise in accordance with law or regulatory policy. Approval of such settlements shall be based upon substantial evidence in the record.

We find this case presents issues of significant implication for the utility and the public interest. As such, this Commission determined that an evidentiary hearing was necessary "to consider the reasonableness of the settlement and whether acceptance of the settlement is just, fair, and reasonable, in the public interest, or otherwise in accordance with law or regulatory policy" in conformity with its statutory duties. The Commission, therefore, conducted a formal hearing in this matter on July 12, 2007, beginning at 10:30 a.m. in the hearing room of the Commission with the Honorable G. O'Neal Hamilton presiding.

III. DISCUSSION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Settlement Agreement introduced in this proceeding is attached as Order Exhibit No. 1. The parties presenting the Agreement included Mitchell Willoughby, Esquire, and K. Chad Burgess, Esquire, representing SCPC as well as Shannon Bowyer Hudson, Esquire, and Jeffrey M. Nelson, Esquire, appearing on behalf of ORS. During

the hearing, SCPC and ORS stipulated and introduced into the record the testimony of Samuel L. Dozier, Michael P. Wingo, John S. Beier, Thomas R. Conard, Roy H. Barnette and Brent L. Sires. Additionally, the exhibits sponsored by witnesses Wingo, Beier and Barnette were also stipulated and introduced into the record. The witnesses presented summaries of their testimonies to the Commission from the witness stand and were made available for questions from the Commissioners.

At the outset of the hearing, the Commission asked the parties to present oral arguments on whether certain provisions of the Settlement Agreement were in accord with the statutory requirements of the Uniform Unclaimed Property Act (the "Act"), S.C. Code Ann. Section 27-18-10, et seq. (Supp. 2007). Specifically, the Commission asked whether Sections 6 and 7 of the Settlement Agreement relating to the proposed distribution of monies received by SCPC from its vendors or compensation received from a claim filed in a class action lawsuit were in compliance with the Act. The parties presented arguments that the proposed distribution of monies would not be inconsistent with the provisions of the Act. Further, the parties agreed upon certain findings related to the prudence of the Company's purchasing practices, the application of the PGA during the review period, and other related matters.

IV. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

After careful review and consideration of the written and oral testimony, the Settlement Agreement, and the argument of counsel, the Commission finds that i) SCPC's gas purchasing policies and practices during the Review Period were reasonable and prudent; (ii) SCPC's costs for gas purchases and asset management were reasonable

and prudent during the Review Period; (iii) SCPC properly adhered to the gas cost recovery provisions of its gas tariff and relevant Commission orders during the Review Period; and (iv) SCPC managed its hedging program during the Review Period in a reasonable and prudent manner consistent with Commission orders. It is therefore determined that the terms of the Settlement Agreement are approved. As a result, the Company may distribute monies received from its vendors and any class action claim compensation (if any) as contemplated by Sections 6 and 7 of the Settlement Agreement according to the Agreement's distribution mechanisms. Any distributions unclaimed after the expiration of six months may only be delivered by the Company to the State Treasurer with his consent pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Section 27-19-280 (B). If the State Treasurer does not consent to receive any such unclaimed distributions after the expiration of six months, then such distributions shall be held by the Company for five years pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Section 27-18-90(B), and thereafter delivered to the State Treasurer pursuant to the Uniform Unclaimed Property Act.

Additionally, in light of SCPC's conversion to an interstate transportation pipeline, which is now subject to FERC jurisdiction exclusively, the Commission finds that the Company's tariff, rates, charges, and terms and conditions of service on file with the Commission are no longer effective or in force and should be cancelled, terminated, or otherwise revoked.

NOW THEREFORE, based upon the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY DECLARED, ADJUDGED, DECREED AND ORDERED THAT:

- 1. SCPC's gas purchasing policies and practices during the Review Period were reasonable and prudent.
- 2. SCPC's costs for gas purchases and asset management were reasonable and prudent during the Review Period.
- 3. SCPC properly adhered to the gas cost recovery provisions of its gas tariff and relevant Commission orders during the Review Period.
- 4. SCPC managed its hedging program during the Review Period in a reasonable and prudent manner consistent with Commission orders.
- 5. The Settlement Agreement attached hereto as Order Exhibit No. 1 is accepted into the record without objection and is incorporated into and made part of this Order by reference.
- 6. The Settlement Agreement is in the public interest and hereby approved as a reasonable resolution of this proceeding with the proviso as stated in Paragraph 7, below.
- 7. SCPC may distribute monies received from its vendors and any class action claim compensation (if any) as contemplated by Sections 6 and 7 of the Settlement Agreement and the distribution mechanisms agreed to therein with the proviso, as agreed to by the parties, that any distributions unclaimed after the expiration of six (6) months may only be delivered by SCPC to the State Treasurer with his consent pursuant to Section 28-17-280(B). If the State Treasurer does not consent to receive any such unclaimed distributions after the expiration of only six (6) months, then and in that event, such distributions shall be held by SCPC for five years pursuant to Section 27-18-90(B)

and thereafter delivered to the State Treasurer pursuant to the Uniform Unclaimed Property Act.

- 8. SCPC's tariff, rates, and charges, as well as terms and conditions of service on file with the Commission are no longer effective or in force and are hereby cancelled, terminated, or otherwise revoked of record.
- 9. This Order shall remain in full force and effect until further Order of the Commission.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

G. O'Neal Hamilton, Chairman

ATTEST:

C. Robert Moseley, Vice Chairman

(SEAL)

Order Exhibit No. 1 Docket No. 2007-6-G Order No. 2007-552 August 14, 2007

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2007-6-G

June 22, 2007

IN RE:)	
)	
Carolina Gas Transmission)	
Corporation f/k/a South Carolina Pipeline)	
Corporation – Final Review of the)	
Purchased Gas Adjustments (PGA) of South	h)	
Carolina Pipeline Corporation (SCPC) for)	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
the period ending on October 31, 2006)	
immediately prior to SCPC merging with)	
SCG Pipeline, Inc., changing its name to)	
Carolina Gas Transmission Corporation,)	
and becoming an interstate jurisdictional)	
pipeline.)	
• •)	

This Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") is made by and between the Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") and Carolina Gas Transmission Corporation ("CGTC") f/k/a South Carolina Pipeline Corporation ("SCPC" or "the Company") (collectively referred to as the "Parties" or sometimes individually as a "Party");

WHEREAS, by South Carolina Public Service Commission ("Commission") Order No. 87-1122 dated October 5, 1987, the Commission instituted an annual review of SCPC's Purchased Gas Adjustment and Gas Purchasing Policies;

WHEREAS, on April 12, 2007, the Commission issued a revised notice of hearing and set return dates for the Final Review of Purchased Gas Adjustment and Gas Purchasing Policies of SCPC;

WHEREAS, the purpose of this proceeding is to review matters related to SCPC's gas purchasing policies and recovery of its gas costs;

WHEREAS, the period under review in this docket is January 1, 2006 to October 31, 2006 ("Review Period");

WHEREAS, this proceeding constitutes the final review of SCPC's gas purchasing policies and recovery of its gas costs during the time in which the Company offered bundled intrastate natural gas services to South Carolina customers;

WHEREAS, effective November 1, 2006, the Company ceased its merchant services and began providing interstate natural gas transportation services only under the name Carolina Gas Transmission Corporation pursuant to authority granted by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") and tariffs, rates and terms and conditions on file with and approved by FERC;

WHEREAS, ORS has examined the books and records of the Company and conducted inquiries and analyses related to SCPC's purchased gas adjustment and gas purchasing policies;

WHEREAS, the Parties to this Settlement Agreement are parties of record in the abovecaptioned docket. There are no other parties of record in the above-captioned proceeding;

WHEREAS, the Parties have engaged in discussions to determine if a settlement of this proceeding would be in their best interests;

WHEREAS, following those discussions the Parties have each determined that their interests and the public interest would be best served by settling the above-captioned case under the terms and conditions set forth below:

- 1. The Parties agree to stipulate into the record before the Commission the pre-filed direct testimony of SCPC witnesses Samuel L. Dozier, Michael P. Wingo, John S. Beier, and Thomas R. Conard, without objection, change, amendment, or cross-examination.
- 2. The Parties agree to stipulate into the record before the Commission the pre-filed direct testimony of ORS witnesses Roy H. Barnette and Brent Sires, without objection, change, amendment, or cross-examination.

- 3. ORS is charged by law with the duty to represent the public interest of South Carolina pursuant to S.C. Code § 58-4-10(B) (added by Act 175). S.C. Code § 58-4-10(B)(1) through (3) reads in part as follows:
 - ... 'public interest' means a balancing of the following:
 - (1) concerns of the using and consuming public with respect to public utility services, regardless of the class of customer;
 - (2) economic development and job attraction and retention in South Carolina; and
 - (3) preservation of the financial integrity of the State's public utilities and continued investment in and maintenance of utility facilities so as to provide reliable and high quality utility services.

ORS believes the Settlement Agreement reached among the Parties serves the public interest as defined above.

- 4. The Parties further agree that the stipulated testimony of record will conclusively demonstrate the following: (i) SCPC's gas purchasing policies and practices during the Review Period were reasonable and prudent, (ii) SCPC properly adhered to the gas cost recovery provisions of its gas tariff and relevant Commission orders during the Review Period, (iii) SCPC managed its approved hedging program during the Review Period consistent with Commission orders and, following issuance of Order No. 2006-331 in Docket No. 2006-144-G, SCPC also managed its approved hedging program for the benefit of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company as provided by Order No. 2006-331.
- 5. The Parties agree that in light of SCPC's conversion to an interstate transportation pipeline, which is now subject to FERC jurisdiction exclusively, the Company's tariff, rates, charges and terms and conditions of service on file with the Commission are no longer effective or in force. Therefore, the Parties agree that the Commission should cancel, terminate or otherwise revoke in its order the Company's tariff, rates, charges and terms and conditions of service.

- 6. The Parties agree that CGTC has developed and instituted a comprehensive and equitable plan for the allocation and distribution of any upstream refunds (those currently being held and any future refunds received) related solely to SCPC's intrastate operations prior to its merger with SCG Pipeline, Inc. and transfer to FERC jurisdiction on November 1, 2006. In order to expeditiously distribute any refunds received by the Company, the Parties agree to the following refund mechanism.
 - Within thirty (30) days after receiving any refund as described above, CGTC
 will notify ORS and the Commission of the amount and source of the refund.
 - For all refunds less than \$100,000, the refund will be distributed over the cost of gas dollars during the 12 month period of November 2005 through October 2006.
 - In the unlikely event CGTC receives refunds greater than \$100,000, the refund will be distributed over the cost of gas dollars during the applicable refund period.
 - A refund from either Southern Natural Gas Company or Transcontinental Gas
 Pipe Line Corporation will be based on percentages of an analysis of throughput of purchased gas dollars based on the following delivery categories:
 - o Form 1 (WACOG) (also includes all sale for resale ISP-R and LNG)
 - o ISP-R (SCPC industrials)
 - Underground storage/inventory
 - Any refund due to the category of underground storage/inventory will be distributed pro rata to those sale for resale customers who received that inventory as of October 31, 2006.

- If a calculation of a refund check to any customer is less than \$50, then that amount will be distributed/refunded pro rata to the remaining customers in that category. Thus, no check less than \$50 will be generated or distributed.
- If a customer is inactive or has left the system, then the amount of the refund
 calculated for that customer will be distributed/refunded pro rata to the remaining
 customers in that category.
- Any checks returned or not cashed within six (6) months after issuance and mailing will be considered unclaimed property and escheated to the South Carolina Treasurer pursuant to the South Carolina Uniform Unclaimed Property Act, S.C. Code Ann. Section 27-18-10, et seq.
- 7. The Parties agree that CGTC has also developed and instituted a comprehensive and equitable plan for the allocation and distribution of any funds received by CGTC as a result of its filing of a claim in a pending class action lawsuit, generally entitled Natural Gas Commodity Litigation, which alleges market manipulation by the defendants named in the lawsuit during the period of July 1999 through December 2002 ("Claims Period"). In order to distribute any funds that CGTC may receive on its claim, the Parties agree that CGTC should distribute these funds to those customers who received price risk adjustments ("PRA") on their bills issued by SCPC resulting from the hedging program during the Claims Period ("Qualifying Customers"), net of any external litigation costs but including any accrued interest, if any, using the following claim funds distribution mechanism:
 - Within thirty (30) days after receiving any claim funds due to Qualifying Customers, CGTC will notify ORS and the Commission of the amount and source of the refund.

• The amount of any funds to be paid to any Qualifying Customer will be derived by calculating the total PRA¹ assigned to such customer during the Claims Period as a percentage of the total PRA assigned to Qualifying Customers receiving price risk adjustments during the Claims Period. The formula is as follows:

Total PRA Assigned to the Qualifying
Customer

X
Amount of Funds Received on Class Action Claim

Total PRA Assigned to All Qualifying
Customers

Total PRA Assigned

- If a distribution to any Qualifying Customer is less than \$50, then that amount will be distributed to the remaining Qualifying Customers. Thus, no check less than \$50 will be generated or distributed.
- If a Qualifying Customer is inactive or has left the system, then the amount of the claim funds distribution calculated for that customer will be distributed to the remaining Qualifying Customers.
- Any checks returned or not cashed within six (6) months after issuance and mailing will be considered unclaimed property and escheated to the South Carolina Treasurer pursuant to the South Carolina Uniform Unclaimed Property Act, S.C. Code Ann. Section 27-18-10, et seq.
- 7. The Parties agree to cooperate in good faith with one another in recommending to the Commission that this Settlement Agreement be accepted and approved by the Commission as

¹ The total PRA will be calculated by adding both additions to and subtractions from the cost of gas for the Claims Period.

a fair, reasonable and full resolution of the above-captioned proceeding. The Parties agree to use reasonable efforts to defend and support any Commission order issued approving this Settlement Agreement and the terms and conditions contained herein.

- 8. The Parties agree that by signing this Settlement Agreement, it will not constrain, inhibit or impair their arguments or positions held in future proceedings. If the Commission should decline to approve the Settlement Agreement in its entirety, then any Party desiring to do so may withdraw from the agreement without penalty.
 - 9. This Settlement Agreement shall be interpreted according to South Carolina law.
- 10. Each Party acknowledges its consent and agreement to this Settlement Agreement by authorizing its counsel to affix his or her signature to this document where indicated below. Counsel's signature represents his or her representation that his or her client has authorized the execution of the agreement. Facsimile signatures and e-mail signatures shall be as effective as original signatures to bind any party. This document may be signed in counterparts, with the various signature pages combined with the body of the document constituting an original and provable copy of this Settlement Agreement.

(SIGNATURE PAGES FOLLOW)

WE AGREE:

Representing and binding the Office of Regulatory Staff

Jeffrey M. Nelson, Esquire Shannon B. Hudson, Esquire Office of Regulatory Staff 1441 Main Street, Suite 300

Columbia, SC 29201 Phone: (803) 737-0800 Fax: (803) 737-0895

Email: jnelson@regstaff.sc.gov shudson@regstaff.sc.gov

WE AGREE:

Representing and binding Carolina Gas Transmission Corporation f/k/a South Carolina Pipeline Corporation

K. Chad Burgess, Esquire

SCANA Corporation

1426 Main Street, 13th floor

Columbia, SC 29201 Phone: (803) 217-9356 Fax: (803) 217-7931

Email: chad.burgess@scana.com

Mitchell M. Willoughby, Esquire

Benjamin P. Mustian, Esquire Willoughby & Hoefer, P.A.

Post Office Box 8416

1022 Calhoun Street, Suite 302

Columbia, SC 29202-8416

Phone: (803) 252-3300 Fax: (803) 256-8062

Email: mwilloughby@willoughbyhoefer.com

bmustian@willoughbyhoefer.com